UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,216	01/02/2004	Philip S. Siegel	067439.0157	1168
5073 BAKER BOTT	7590 02/19/200 S L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
2001 ROSS AV	ENUE	SHAAWAT, MUSSA A		
SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX 7	75201-2980	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/19/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptomail1@bakerbotts.com glenda.orrantia@bakerbotts.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/751,216	SIEGEL, PHILIP S.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MUSSA A. SHAAWAT	3627		

	MUSSA A. SHAAWAT	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth the ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	, on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second c	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·		·
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5,7,8, 10-16, 29-32. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 17-28.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/F. Ryan Zeender/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627			

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicants argumenst have been considered but are not persuasive. In particular applicant argues that Arganbright in view of Cybul does not disclose, teach, or suggest "receiving an electronic selection, from the customer, via the web access tool, the electronic selection identifying a particular item of merchandise included in the list of at least one item of merchandise having been purchased by the customer in the prior purchase transaction, the electronic selection comprising a click on the particular item of merchandise in the list displayed to the customer and identifying the particular item of merchandise for returns processing."

In response, examiner respectfully disgarees. Cybul teaches accessing a computerized database to obtain the shopping or transaction history associated with the consumer (see at least Abstract, and co1.4 lines 40-50); displaying the previous transaction or shopping history via a web browser interface where the previous shopping history is associated with the consumer (see at least co1.3 line 65-co1.4 lines 15); in response to displaying the transaction history associated with the consumer, receiving an electronic selection of a particular of at least an item by the consumer using the browser interface, the electronic selection comprising a click on the particular item of merchandise and identifying the particular item of merchandise (see at least co1.4 lines 25-35, consumer selecting previous shopping history). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Cybul into the disclosure of Arganbright in order to provide the consumer with the option to return items via internet or online. Therefore Argnabright in view of Cybul still meets the scope of the limitation as currently claimed.

Examine note: The official notice statement recited in the previous office action dated 11/29/2007, is taken to be admitted prior art because applicant failed to traverse the examiner's assertion of official notice. See, MPEP 2144.02. Furthermore the Official Notice Traversal is no longer seasonable, therefore the Official Notice is considered to be admitted prior art..